

APR 0 8 2010

SECRETARY, BOARD OF OIL, GAS & MINING

JIM R. SCARTH (2870) Kane County Attorney 76 North Main Street Kanab, Utah 84741

Telephone: (435) 644-5278 Facsimile: (435) 644-8156

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF OIL, GAS, AND MINING DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES STATE OF UTAH

SOUTHERN UTAH WILDERNESS ALLIANCE, et al,

Petitioners,

٧.

DIVISION OF OIL, GAS, & MINING, DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES, STATE OF UTAH,

Respondent.

INTERVENOR KANE COUNTY'S
OBJECTION AND RESPONSE TO
PETITIONER'S
REQUEST FOR SECOND SITE
INSPECTION

Docket No. 2009-019

Cause C/025/0005

COMES NOW Intervenor Kane County, by and through its counsel of record, Jim R. Scarth, and objects to Petitioners' Request for Second Site Inspection herein, and respectfully moves the Board to deny Petitioners' Request, and in support thereof, states the following:

Petitioners first requested a site inspection on November 25, 2009. They subsequently withdrew their motion, stating that the permit area was inaccessible due to snow cover. After discussion between the parties, a stipulation was reached and approved whereby Petitioners were to conduct a site inspection between February 16, 2010, and March 5, 2010. It was further agreed that Petitioners would be given access to the permit area for any four week days designated by Petitioners within that time frame.

Petitioners requested and were given access to the permit area on March 2, 2010. After only one day, Petitioners abandoned their inspection activities, complaining that the presence of snow interfered with their inspection abilities. When they abandoned their inspection efforts, they had three days remaining to complete their work, pursuant to the stipulation and order. Now, for the third time, they are moving for yet another inspection. Petitioners' motion should be denied.

The presence of snow in the permit area during the months of February and March is not an unusual condition. This information concerning snow conditions was either well known by Petitioners or was easily knowable with very little investigation, i.e. a telephone call or two would certainly educate Petitioners regarding snow conditions in the permit area. Instead, they scheduled the inspection apparently with no effort to first determine snow conditions, then abandoned that effort after one day. Apparently, they made no effort to use the snowmobile which was present nor did they use snowshoes to hike to site locations.

The real question raised by Petitioners' inspection efforts is why Petitioners have taken so much time and effort to demand the site inspection, but so little time and effort to actually inspect the site. Intervenor suggests that the reason for this inconsistency lies in the reason Petitioners challenged the issuance of the Petition in the first place. It was challenged for no other reason than the fact that the permit was actually issued.

It is now obvious that Petitioners attacked the permit process not because of any knowledge or information that the permit procedure was not properly followed, or that supporting data was flawed, but simply because the permit was issued. To use this "shoot now and ask questions later" approach is a misuse of the procedural safeguards which are in place to allow a challenge to the granting of a permit.

It is not right to allow Petitioners to stop a process which has been expensive and time-consuming for many people, with no other evidence or support for the challenge than has been shown to date. For Petitioners to drag their feet and prolong the delay by making what is in effect a third request for inspection is unconscionable. This is particularly true when it becomes obvious that Petitioners have no support for the various claims being made in attacking the granting of this permit. Petitioners are dealing with that lack of support by attempting to extend the time period in which they may try to find something to support claims which were made without an adequate basis. Petitioners' Motion should be denied.

WHEREFORE, Kane County respectfully requests that the Board issue an order denying Petitioners' Request for Second Site Visit at the Coal Hollow Mine, located in Alton, Kane County, Utah.

DATED April 6, 2010.

Jim R. Scarth

Kane County Attorney

- R- Scart

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On April 6, 2010, I FILED this INTERVENOR KANE COUNTY'S OBJECTION AND RESPONSE with Julie Ann Carter, Secretary of the Board of the Board of Oil, Gas, and Mining, 1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84116, and mailed a copy, first-class postage prepaid, to:

Bennett E. Bayer, Esq. Landrum & Shouse LLP 106 West Vine Street, Suite 800 Lexington, KY 40507

Denise A. Dragoo James P. Allen Snell & Wilmer, L.L.P. 15 West South Temple, Suite 1200 Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

Stephen Bloch, Esq. Tiffany Barz, Esq. Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance 425 East 100 South Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Walton Morris, Esq. Morris Law Office, P.C. 1901 Pleasant Lane Charlottesville, VA 22901

Sharon Buccino, Esq.
Natural Resources Defense Council
1200 New York Ave, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, DC 20005

Michael Johnson, Esq. Assistant Attorney General 160 East 300 South, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor P.O. Box 140857 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0857

Steven F. Alder, Esq. Assistant Attorney General 160 East 300 South, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor P.O. Box 140857 Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0857

Bridget Mackey

## KANE COUNTY ATTORNEY

76 North Main Kanab, Utah 84741







RECEIVED

DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

APR 08 2010

JULIE ANN CARTER
BOARD OF OIL, GAS AND MINING
1594 WEST NORTH TEMPLE, STE. 1210
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84116

お付いの時からから

Hederland and Halland Haland Halander Haland